

Fields topology and perturbation theory

J. Manjavidze

*JINR, Dubna, Russia.
Inst. of Physics, Tbilisi, Georgia*

Abstract

The fields nonlinear modes quantization scheme is discussed. New form of the perturbation theory achieved by unitary mapping the quantum dynamics in the space W_G of (*action, angle*)-type collective variables. It is shown why the transformed perturbation theory contributions may accumulated exactly on the boundary ∂W_G . Abilities of the developed formalism are illustrated by examples from quantum mechanics and field theory.

• Introduction

One may use for my talk another titles. For instance:

- **Quantization of nonlinear modes (waves)**
- **Strong-coupling perturbation theory**
- **Examples of unitary transformation of path-integral variables**
- **Quantum theory and symplectic geometry**
- **Path-Integral solution of H-atom Problem**
- **Particles Creation in the Integrable Systems**
- **Symmetry breaking in the $O(4, 2)$ -invariant field theories**
- **Ghost-free quantization of Yang-Mills fields**

But the role of topologies in the perturbation theory structure appears so new for me that I offer concentrate the attention just on this question. (Details of the formalism one can find in hep-th/9811160.)

We will consider the expansion of integral:

$$A(F) = \int Du e^{iS(u)} F(u)$$

in vicinity of *real – time* path

$$u_c : \frac{\delta S(u_c)}{\delta u_c} \equiv 0.$$

This demands knowledge of Green function G . But the equation:

$$(\partial_\mu^2 + v''(u_c))_x G(x, x'; u_c) = \delta(x - x').$$

is translationally noninvariant if $u_c = u_c(x)$ and therefore has not explicit solution. By this reason this ordinary (WKB) method has not a future.

I would like to demonstrate the strict *strong-coupling* perturbation theory, $u_c = O(1/g)$. Actually I will follow the idea that *the substitution may considerably simplify calculations*, helping avoid above problem.

• Unitarity condition

Let us calculate probability to find somewhere a particle with energy E

$$\rho_1(E) = \int dx_1 dx_2 A_1(x_1, x_2; E) A_1^*(x_1, x_2; E).$$

using the spectral representation of one-particle amplitude:

$$A_1(x_1, x_2; E) = \sum_n \frac{\Psi_n^*(x_2) \Psi_n(x_1)}{E - E_n + i\varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow +0,$$

All unnecessary contributions with $E \neq E_n$ were canceled in $\rho_1(E)$:

$$\rho_1(E) = \sum_n \left| \frac{1}{E - E_n + i\varepsilon} \right|^2 = \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon} \sum_n \delta(E - E_n), \quad (1)$$

together with real part of propagator $1/E - E_n + i\varepsilon$.

I would like to exclude such unnecessary contributions (like $E \neq E_n$). This means inclusion of last equality in (1) into formalism, i.e. transition from $A_1 A_1^*$ to absorption part $Im A_1$.

Then the unitarity (optical theorem: $2i\varepsilon |A_1|^2 = A_1 - A_1^*$) becomes sufficient and necessary condition: I will show, it defines the *complete* set of contributions in the physically acceptable domains.

The formalism based on the statements:

(A) If $A \sim e^{iS(u)}$ and $S(u)$ is the action, if $\rho \sim |A|^2$ is measurable, if the equality $2i\varepsilon |A|^2 = A - A^*$ is taken into account, then:

$$\rho(F) = e^{-i\hat{K}(ej)} \int DM(u, j) e^{-iU(u, e)} \tilde{F}(u, e) \equiv \hat{O}(u) \tilde{F}(u)$$

where expansion over differential operator

$$\hat{K}(ej) = \frac{1}{2} \int dx \frac{\delta}{\delta j(x)} \frac{\delta}{\delta e(x)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int dx \hat{j}(x) \hat{e}(x)$$

generates the perturbation series, functional $U(u, e) = O(e^3)$ describes interactions, the measure DM is Diracian (δ -like):

$$DM = \prod_x du(x) \delta \left(\frac{\delta S(u)}{\delta u(x)} + j(x) \right).$$

At the very end one should take $j = e = 0$.

Note: the variational principle is *derivable* from above first quantum principles.

(B) If coordinate $u_c(\xi, \eta)$ and corresponding momentum $p_c(\xi, \eta)$ obey the equations

$$\{u_c, h_j\} = \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial p_c}, \quad \{p_c, h_j\} = -\frac{\partial H_j}{\partial u_c}, \quad \text{at arbitrary } j, \quad (2)$$

where $H_j(u, p) = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + v(u) - ju$ and $\{, \}$ is the Poisson bracket, if

$$h_j(\xi, \eta) = H_j(u_c, p_c), \quad h(\eta) \equiv h_0(\xi, \eta), \quad (3)$$

then:

(a) the transformed measure has the form:

$$DM(\xi, \eta) = \prod_t d\xi(t) \eta(t) \delta(\dot{\xi} - \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial \eta}) \delta(\dot{\eta} + \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial \xi}),$$

(it is $T \rightarrow W_G$ mapping) since, as follows from (2, 3)

(a') (u_c, p_c) are the solutions of incident (classical) Hamiltonian equations:

$$\{u_c^i, u_c^k\} = \{p_c^i, p_c^k\} = 0, \quad \{u_c^i, p_c^k\} = \delta^{ik}$$

(b) $\dim W_G \leq \dim T$, where T is the incident phase space (reduction of T).

(c) $\dim W_G$ may be even or odd (splitting: $W_G = T^*G \times R$).

(C) If the Green function $g(t - t')$ of equations

$$\dot{\xi} = \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial \eta}, \quad \dot{\eta} = -\frac{\partial h_j}{\partial \xi},$$

$h_j(\xi, \eta) = H_j(u_c, p_c)$, have the form:

$$g(t - t') = \theta(t - t'), \quad g(0) = 1,$$

then the quantum corrections to semiclassical approximation are accumulated on the boundaries of T^*G :

$$\rho = \rho^{sc} + \int_{\partial T^*G} d\rho^q,$$

where ρ^{sc} is the semiclassical contribution. The explicit form of quantum corrections term $d\rho^q$ will be given.

• The generalization of formalism on the field theory, where $u_c = u_c(\vec{x}; \xi, \eta)$, becomes evident noting (b) and considering space coordinates as the indexes of special cell.

By the same reason ((b) and (c)) the formalism allows to consider also the situation where $(\xi, \eta) = (\xi, \eta)(x, t)$. Last one incorporates the gauge freedom.

(D) The measure

$$DM(\xi, \eta) = \prod_t d\xi(t) d\eta(t) \delta(\dot{\xi} - \omega(\eta) - j \frac{\partial u_c}{\partial \eta}) \delta(\dot{\eta} + j \frac{\partial u_c}{\partial \xi}),$$

admits the cotangent foliation of quantum force j :

$$DM(\xi, \eta) = \prod_t d\xi(t) \eta(t) \delta(\dot{\xi} - \omega(\eta) - j_\xi) \delta(\dot{\eta} - j_\eta)$$

$$\hat{K}(je) = \frac{1}{2} \int dt \{ \hat{j}_\xi \hat{e}_\xi + \hat{j}_\eta \hat{e}_\eta \}$$

and

$$e \rightarrow e_c = e_\xi \frac{\partial u_c}{\partial \eta} - e_\eta \frac{\partial u_c}{\partial \xi}$$

- Cotangent foliation of quantum force j gives the completely Hamiltonian description
- The foliation allows quantize all classical degrees of freedom independently
- The auxiliary variable e_c is invariant of canonical transformations
- The perturbation theory describes fluctuations of classical flow through elementary cell $\delta u \wedge \delta p$ in the T^*G cotangent (sub)space
- The foliation solves the technical problem of functional determinants calculation.

Content

- Introduction into formalism
 - The representation $\rho(F) = \hat{\mathcal{O}}(u)\tilde{F}(u)$ is derived.
 - The mechanism of canonical and coordinate transformations is shown.
- Description of the perturbation theory
 - The main properties of new perturbation theory are shown using simplest quantum-mechanical example.
- Theory of transformation
 - The Coulomb problem is solved.
 - The ρ is calculated for sin-Gordon model in the space of solitons parameters. The consequences are discussed.
 - The quantum measure of the scalar $O(4, 2)$ -invariant field theory in the $W_O = O(4, 2)/O(4) \times O(2)$ factor space is derived. It is shown that the scale invariance is broken.
 - The measure of Yang-Mills theory in the $W_O \times G$ space, where G is the gauge group, is derived.

Definitions

- *S-matrix theory*

The n -into- m particles transition amplitudes is

$$a_{nm}(q'; q) = \prod_{k=1}^m \hat{\phi}(q'_k) \prod_{k=1}^n \hat{\phi}^*(q_k) Z(\phi),$$

where q'_k (q_k) are the in- (out)-going particles momenta. The ‘hat’ symbol means:

$$\hat{\phi}(q) \equiv \int dx e^{-iqx} \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi(x)} \equiv \int dx e^{-iqx} \hat{\phi}(x).$$

The vacuum-in-vacuum transition amplitude in the auxiliary background field ϕ is

$$Z(\phi) = \int Du e^{iS_0(u) - iV(u+\phi)}, \quad (4)$$

where S_0 is the free part of action:

$$S_0(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_+} dx ((\partial_\mu u)^2 - m^2 u^2)$$

and V describes the interactions:

$$V(u) = \int_{C_+} dxv(u).$$

The time integrals in (4) are defined on Mills time contour:

$$C_+ : t \rightarrow t + i\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow +0, \quad -\infty \leq t \leq +\infty.$$

- *S-matrix interpretation of statistics*

Let us calculate now the probability

$$\tilde{\rho}_{nm}(P) = \frac{1}{n!m!} \int d\omega_m(q') d\omega_n(q) \delta(P - \sum_{k=1}^m q'_k) \delta(P - \sum_{k=1}^n q_k) |a_{nm}|^2,$$

Summation over all n, m gives the generating functional:

$$\rho(\alpha, z) = e^{-N_+(\alpha_+, z_+; \hat{\phi}) - N_-(\alpha_-, z_-; \hat{\phi})} \rho_0(\phi) \equiv e^{-N(\alpha, z; \hat{\phi})} \rho_0(\phi).$$

in the Fourier-Mellin representation.

The external particles number operator

$$N_{\pm}(\alpha, z; \hat{\phi}) \equiv \int d\omega_1(q) e^{-iq\alpha_{\pm}} z_{\pm}(q) \hat{\phi}_{\pm}(q) \hat{\phi}_{\mp}^*(q).$$

and

$$\rho_0(\phi) = Z(\phi_+) Z^*(-\phi_-).$$

describes the vacuum-into-vacuum transition.

Comments

- $\rho(\alpha, z)$ may be used for generation of cross sections helping Fourier-Mellin transformation.
- If (i) $\alpha_{\pm} = (i\beta_{\pm}, \vec{0})$, where

$$\bar{\beta}_{\pm} : E_{\pm} \equiv -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_{\pm}} \ln \rho(\bar{\beta}_{\pm}),$$

and if (ii) the fluctuations near $\bar{\beta}_{\pm}$ are Gaussian, then $\rho(\bar{\beta}, z)$ is the grand partition function. Then $\bar{\beta}_{\pm}$ is the inverse temperature in the initial (final) state and $z(q)$ is the activity.

- Including the black-body environment $\rho(\bar{\beta}, z)$ is the generating functional of the real-time finite temperature field theory of Schwinger-Keldysh type. If there is not correlations on the time infinities, then $\rho(\bar{\beta}, z)$ may be continued on the Matsubara imaginary-time contour.

- *S-matrix* interpretation allows to extend the formalism on the nonequilibrium media considering $\beta_{\pm} = \beta_{\pm}(Y)$, where Y is the 4-coordinate of *measurement*.

Unitary definition of measure

- *Factorization property*

In the expression

$$\rho(\alpha, z) = e^{-N(\alpha, z; \hat{\phi})} \rho_0(\phi).$$

the ‘external’ properties, fixed by α , z , and ‘internal’ ones, described by $\rho_0(\phi)$, are *factorized*: the operator $e^{-N(\alpha, z; \hat{\phi})}$, where $N = N_+ + N_-$ and

$$N_{\pm}(\alpha, z; \hat{\phi}) \equiv \int d\omega_1(q) e^{-iq\alpha_{\pm}} z_{\pm}(q) \hat{\phi}_{\pm}(q) \hat{\phi}_{\mp}^*(q),$$

maps interacting fields system,

$$\rho_0(\phi) = \int Du_+ Du_- e^{iS_0(u_+) - iU(u_+ + \phi_+)} e^{-iS_0(u_-) + iV(u_- - \phi_-)},$$

on the observable states.

This property reflects adiabaticity of quantum perturbations.

- *Dirac measure*

We will use the substitution :

$$u(x)_{\pm} = u(x) \pm \varphi(x),$$

with boundary conditions:

$$\int_{\sigma_{\infty}} dx_{\mu} \varphi(x) \partial^{\mu} u(x) = 0 \quad : \quad \varphi(x)|_{(x) \in \sigma_{\infty}} = 0$$

to establish the equality: $2iAA^* = A - A^*$. Expanding over φ :

$$\rho_0(\phi) = e^{-i\hat{K}(j\varphi)} \int DM(u, j) e^{-iU(u, \varphi)} e^{i2\Re \int_{C_+} dx \phi(x)(j(x) - v'(u))}$$

where

$$DM(u) = \prod_x du(x) \delta(\partial_{\mu}^2 u + m^2 u + v'(u) - j),$$

$$\hat{K}(j, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \Re \int_{C_+} dx \hat{j}(x) \hat{\varphi}(x),$$

$$U(u, \varphi) = V(u + \varphi) - V(u - \varphi) - 2\Re \int_{C_+} dx \varphi(x) v'(u) = O(\varphi^3).$$

Note: last exponent is linear over $u(x)$ because of δ -likeness of measure DM .

- *Generating functional*

Substitution of ρ_0 gives **(A)**:

$$\rho(\beta, z) = e^{-i\hat{K}} \int DM(u) e^{+iS_0(u) - iU(u; \varphi)} e^{N(\beta, z; u)},$$

where

$$N(\beta, z; u) = n(\beta_+, z_+; u) + n^*(\beta_-, z_-; u)$$

and

$$n(\beta, z; u) = \int d\omega(q) e^{-\beta(\varepsilon(q) + \mu(q))} |\Gamma(q, u)|^2,$$

where $\mu(q) = \ln z(q)/\beta$ is the chemical potential and

$$\Gamma(q, u) = \int_{C_+} dx e^{iqx} (\partial^2 + m^2) u(x)$$

$$DM(u) = \prod_x du(x) \delta(\partial_\mu^2 u + m^2 u + v'(u) - j),$$

$$\hat{K}(j, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \Re \int_{C_+} dx \hat{j}(x) \hat{\varphi}(x),$$

$$U(u, \varphi) = V(u + \varphi) - V(u - \varphi) - 2\Re \int_{C_+} dx \varphi(x) v'(u) = O(\varphi^3).$$

Note: if $u_c(x)$ is a ‘good’ function, then $\Gamma(q, u) = 0$ since $q^2 = m^2$ by definition.

Comments

a. The functional δ -function is defined as follows:

$$\prod_x \delta(f_x(u)) = \int \prod_x \frac{de(x)}{\pi} e^{-2i\Re \int_{C_+} dx e(x) f_x(u)}$$

So, considering the double integral AA^* we may introduce integration over two independent fields u and e . Then, (i) integral over e gives the δ -function and (ii) last one defines integral over u . One can say: the real-time theories are ‘simple’.

It should be underlined that the measure DM was derived for *real – time* processes only.

b. Only *strict* solutions of equation

$$\partial_\mu^2 u + v'(u) = 0, \tag{5}$$

should be taken into account.

c. ρ is described by the *sum* of all solutions of eq.(5), independently from their ‘nearness’ in the functional space.

d. ρ did not contain the interference terms from various topologically nonequivalent contributions. This displays the orthogonality of corresponding Hilbert spaces.

e. The measure DM and $\hat{K}$ includes $j(x)$ as the external source. Its fluctuation disturbs the solutions of eq.(5).

f. If j is switched on adiabatically then the field disturbed by $j(x)$ belongs to the same manifold (topology class) as the classical field u_c .

g. (*Selection rule*) If V_{u_c} is the zero-modes volume occupied by given u_c , then taking into account **b**, **c** and **f** one should leave the contribution with largest V_{u_c} : if $\dim V_{u_c} > \dim V_{u'_c}$, then u'_c contributions may be neglected with $O(V_{u'_c}/V_{u_c})$ accuracy.

Note: the imaginary-time (kink-like) contributions may be neglected iff their contribution are realized on zero ($V_{u'_c}/V_{u_c} = 0$) measure.

h. Our definition of ρ restores the stationary phase methods perturbation theory in the vicinity of trivial extremum $u_c = 0$. The comparison of our and WKB perturbation theories is impossible for the case $u_c \neq 0$ since last one is unknown for this case.

g. The $i\varepsilon$ -prescription should be used to avoid the singularities and for right definition of time analytical continuation to connect (if this is not in contradiction with topological principles) the real- and imaginary-time trajectories.

Canonical transformation

- *Introduction into the transformation theory*

Let's start consideration from (1+0) field theory

$$A_1(x_1, x_2; E) = i \int_0^\infty dT e^{iET} \int \prod dx(t) dp(t) e^{iS_{C_+}(x, p)},$$

assuming that $x(0) = x_1$, $x(T) = x_2$. Then

$$DM(x, p) = \delta(E - H_T) \prod_t dx dp \delta(\dot{x} - \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial p}) \delta(\dot{p} + \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial x}),$$

where

$$H_j = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + v(x) - jx, \quad H_T = H_{j=0}|_{t=T}.$$

Inserting

$$1 = \int D\theta Dh \prod_t \delta(h - \frac{1}{2}p^2 - v(x)) \times \delta(\theta - \int^x dx (2(h - v(x)))^{-1/2}).$$

If: $h_j(\theta, h) = H_j(x_c, p_c) = h - jx_c(\theta, h)$, then

$$DM(\theta, h) = \delta(E - h(T)) \prod_t d\theta dh \delta(\dot{\theta} - \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial h}) \delta(\dot{h} + \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial \theta}),$$

- $j\partial x_c(\theta, h)/\partial h$ and $j\partial x_c(\theta, h)/\partial \theta$ in eqs.:

$$\dot{\theta} = \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial h} = 1 - j \frac{\partial x_c(\theta, h)}{\partial h}, \quad \dot{h} = -\frac{\partial h_j}{\partial \theta} = j \frac{\partial x_c(\theta, h)}{\partial \theta}.$$

are the projections of j on the axis of $W = (\theta, h)$ space. Using identity (at $j_b = e_b = 0$):

$$\prod \delta(a \pm bj) = e^{\alpha^{-1} \int \hat{j}_b \hat{e}_b} e^{\mp \alpha \int j b e_b} \prod \delta(a - j_b)$$

(α is arbitrary) one can complete the mapping:

$$DM = \delta(E - h_T) \prod_t d\theta dh \delta(\dot{\theta} - 1 - j_\theta) \delta(\dot{h} - j_h),$$

$$\hat{K}(j \cdot e) = \frac{1}{2} \Re \int_{C_+} dt \{ \hat{j}_\theta \hat{e}_\theta + \hat{j}_h \hat{e}_h \},$$

$$e \rightarrow e_c = e_\theta \frac{\partial x_c(\theta, h)}{\partial h} - e_h \frac{\partial x_c(\theta, h)}{\partial \theta}.$$

Action of $\exp\{-i\hat{K}(j \cdot e)\}$ gives:

$$\rho(E) = \int_0^\infty dT \int DM : e^{-U(\hat{e}_c, x_c)} e^{iS_0(x_c)} :,$$

$$\hat{e}_c = \{\hat{j} \wedge \hat{W}\}x_c, \quad j = (j_\theta, j_h), \quad W = (\theta, h).$$

This completes the (a) part of **(B)**.

• *Zero modes*

Noting that

$$\int \prod_t dX(t) \delta(\dot{X}) = \int dX(0) = V_X$$

the measure

$$DM = \delta(E - h_T) \prod_t d\theta dh \delta(\dot{\theta} - 1 - j_\theta) \delta(\dot{h} - j_h) \sim d\theta(0)$$

This is the translational zero modes measure.

Comments

-
- The zero modes differential measure was defined without Faddeev-Popov ansatz.
- The Faddeev-Popov ghosts would not arise.
-
- The ghost-free quantization scheme may be shown for Yang-Mills field theories.
- This removes (?) the problem of Gribov's ambiguities.
- *Perturbation theory structure*

$$\rho(E) = \int_0^\infty dT \int DM : e^{-U(\hat{e}_c, x_c)} e^{iS_0(x_c)} :,$$

$$DM = \delta(E - h_T) \prod_t d\theta dh \delta(\dot{\theta} - 1 - j_\theta) \delta(\dot{h} - j_h),$$

Let $g(t - t')$ be the Green function. The $i\varepsilon$ -prescription gives:

$$g(t - t') = \theta(t - t'), \quad g(0) = 1, \quad g(t - t')g(t' - t) = 0,$$

$$g^2(t' - t) = g(t' - t), \quad g(t' - t) + g(t - t') = 1.$$

$$e^{-iU(x_c, \hat{e}_c)} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{2n+1} e^{-iV_{k,n}(\hat{j}, x_c)},$$

where

$$V_{k,n}(\hat{j}, x_c) = \int_0^T dt (\hat{j}_\phi(t))^{2n-k+1} (\hat{j}_I(t))^k b_{k,n}(x_c).$$

The explicit form of $b_{k,n}(x_c)$ is not important.

$$\hat{j}_X(t) = \int dt' g(t-t') \hat{X}(t'), \quad X = (\xi, \eta)$$

$$\hat{j}_X(t_1) b_{k,n}(x_c(t_2)) = \Theta(t_1 - t_2) \partial b_{k,n}(x_c) / \partial X_0$$

since $x_c = x_c(X(t) + X_0)$, or

$$\hat{j}_{X,1} b_2 = \Theta_{12} \partial_{X_0} b_2$$

since indices (k, n) are not important.

$$k = 0, m = 1$$

$$\hat{j}_1 b_1 = \Theta_{11} \partial_0 b_1 = \partial_0 b_1 \neq 0.$$

$$k = 0, m = 2$$

$$\hat{j}_1 \hat{j}_2 b_1 b_2 = \Theta_{21} b_1^2 b_2 + b_1^1 b_2^1 + \Theta_{12} b_1 b_2^2,$$

$(b_i^n \equiv \partial^n b_i)$. Inserting $1 = \Theta_{12} + \Theta_{21}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{j}_1 \hat{j}_2 b_1 b_2 &= \Theta_{21} (b_1^2 b_2 + b_1^1 b_2^1) + \Theta_{12} (b_1 b_2^2 + b_1^1 b_2^1) = \\ &= \partial_0 (\Theta_{21} b_1^1 b_2 + \Theta_{12} b_1 b_2^1) \end{aligned}$$

This important property of the perturbation theory is conserved in arbitrary order over m and k .

This ends the statement **(C)**:

$$\rho = \rho^{sc} + \int_{\partial W_G} d\rho^q.$$

• *General theory of transformation*

If $J_i = J_i(x, p)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, are the first integrals in involution then the equations

$$J = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial Q}, \quad \dot{Q} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial J},$$

$$\eta = J(x, p), \quad \xi = Q(x, p) \tag{6}$$

solves mechanical problem (Liouville-Arnold).

Corresponding mapping:

$$J : T \rightarrow W_G,$$

introduces integral manifold $J_\omega = J^{-1}(\omega)$ to which the *classical* phase flow belongs completely.

Suggestion A: If we know the classical phase flow $(x, p)_c$, then (i) one can restore W_G without pointing out the canonical mapping (6); (ii) quantum dynamics representations in $(\xi, \eta) \in T^*G$ and $(x, p) \in T$ are isomorphic.

– This assumes following substitution:

$$1 = \frac{1}{\Delta} \int \prod_t d\xi d\eta \delta(u(t)_x - u_c(\xi, \eta)_x) \delta(p(t)_x - p_c(\xi, \eta)_x),$$

where $(u, p)_c$ obey the **(B)** conditions.

Comments

- It was noted: $\dim W_G \leq \dim T$; $\dim W_G$ may be even or odd.
I wish demonstrate the reduction:

$$T^4 \rightarrow W_C^3 = T^*G^2 \times R^1$$

considering the **Coulomb problem**:

$$r_c = \frac{\eta_1^2(\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2)^{1/2}}{(\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2)^{1/2} + \eta_2 \cos \xi_1}, \quad \varphi_c = \xi_1, p_c = \frac{\eta_2 \sin \xi_1}{\eta_1(\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2)^{1/2}}, \quad l_c = \eta_1.$$

$$U_T(r, e) = -S_0(r) + \int_0^T dt \left[\frac{1}{((r + e_r)^2 + r^2 e_\varphi^2)^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{((r - e_r)^2 + r^2 e_\varphi^2)^{1/2}} + 2 \frac{e_r}{r} \right]$$

$$e_r = e_{\eta_1} \frac{\partial r_c}{\partial \xi_1} - e_{\xi_1} \frac{\partial r_c}{\partial \eta_1}.$$

$$DM(\xi, \eta) = \delta(E - h(T)) \prod_t d^2 \xi d^2 \eta \delta(\dot{\xi}_1 - \omega_1 - j_{\xi_1})$$

$$\times \delta(\dot{\xi}_2 - \omega_2 - j_{\xi_2}) \delta(\dot{\eta}_1 - j_{\eta_1}) \delta(\dot{\eta}_2), \quad \omega_i = \partial h / \partial \eta_i.$$

We have put $j_{\xi_2} = e_{\xi_2} = 0$ since $r_c = r_c(\xi_1, \eta_1, \eta_2)$:

$$\hat{K}(j, e) = \int_0^T dt (\hat{j}_{\xi_1} \hat{e}_{\xi_1} + \hat{j}_{\eta_1} \hat{e}_{\eta_1}),$$

Using last δ -functions:

$$\rho(E) = \int_0^\infty dT e^{-i\hat{K}(j,e)} \int dM e^{-iU_T(r_c,e)} e^{iS_0},$$

where

$$dM = \frac{d\xi_1 d\eta_1}{\omega_2(E)}$$

$$r_c(t) = r_c(\eta_1 + \eta(t), \bar{\eta}_2(E, T), \xi_1 + \omega_1(t) + \xi(t)).$$

The integration range over ξ_1 and η_1 is as follows:

$$\partial W_C : 0 \leq \xi_1 \leq 2\pi, \quad -\infty \leq \eta_1 \leq +\infty.$$

Then,

$$\rho(E) = \int_0^\infty dT \int dM \{ e^{iS_0(r_c)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} b_{\xi_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_1} b_{\eta_1} \}.$$

and the mean value of quantum corrections in the ξ_1 direction are equal to zero:

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\xi_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} b_{\xi_1}(\xi_1, \eta_1) = 0$$

since r_c is the closed trajectory independently from initial conditions.

In the η_1 direction the motion is classical:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\eta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_1} b_{\eta_1}(\xi_1, \eta_1) = 0$$

since (i) b_{η_1} is the series over $1/r_c^2$ and (ii) $r_c \rightarrow \infty$ when $|\eta_1| \rightarrow \infty$.

This is the desired result:

$$\rho(E) = \int_0^\infty dT \int dM e^{iS_0(r_c)}.$$

Noting that

$$S_0(r_c) = kS_1(E), \quad k = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots,$$

where $S_1(E)$ is the action over one classical period T_1 :

$$\frac{\partial S_1(E)}{\partial E} = T_1(E),$$

and using the identity:

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{inS_1(E)} = 2\pi \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(S_1(E) - 2\pi n),$$

we find normalizing on zero-modes volume, that

$$\rho(E) = \pi \sum_n \delta(E + 1/2n^2).$$

Suggestion B: *If $v(u)$ interaction potential, if $v_X(u_c)$ is the derivative of $v(u_c)$ in the $X = (\xi, \eta)$ direction, if $\{v_X(u_c)\}$ is corresponding manifold, then the theory is exactly semiclassical iff*

$$\{v_X(u_c)\} \cap \partial_X T^*G = \emptyset, \quad X(\xi, \eta) \in T^*G,$$

and $W_G = T^*G \times R$, $T^*G \neq \emptyset$.

- The mapping

$$T(\infty) \rightarrow W_{sG} = T^*G(2N), \quad N = 1, 2, \dots$$

may be investigated considering (1,1)-dimensional **sin-Gordon model**. We will calculate

$$\rho_2(q) = e^{-i\hat{K}(je)} \int DM(u, j) e^{-iU(u, e)} e^{iS_0(u)} |\Gamma(q; u)|^2,$$

The mapping $J: \{u, p\}(x, t) \rightarrow \{\xi, \eta\}(t)$ gives, up to constant (infinite) coefficient:

$$\begin{aligned} DM(u_c, p_c)_N &= DM(\xi, \eta) \\ &= \prod_t d\xi d\eta \delta(\dot{\xi} - \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial \eta}) \delta(\dot{\eta} + \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial \xi}) \\ h(\eta) &= \int dp \sigma(r) \sqrt{r^2 + m^2} + \sum_{i=1}^N h(\eta_i), \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{K}(e_\xi, e_\eta; j_\xi, j_\eta) = \frac{1}{2} Re \int_{C_+} dt \{ \hat{j}_\xi(t) \cdot \hat{e}_\xi(t) + \hat{j}_\eta(t) \cdot \hat{e}_\eta(t) \}. \quad (7)$$

$$U(u_N; e_\xi, e_\eta) = -\frac{2m^2}{\lambda^2} \int dx dt \sin \lambda u_N (\sin \lambda e - \lambda e)$$

with

$$e(x, t) = e_\xi(t) \cdot \frac{\partial u_N(x; \xi, \eta)}{\partial \eta(t)} - e_\eta(t) \cdot \frac{\partial u_N(x; \xi, \eta)}{\partial \xi(t)}.$$

One-soliton configuration ($\beta = \frac{\lambda^2}{8}$):

$$u_s = -\frac{4}{\lambda} \arctan\{\exp(mx \cosh \beta \eta - \xi)\}$$

Bounded mode:

$$u_b = -\frac{4}{\lambda} \arctan\left\{\tan \frac{\beta \eta_2}{2} \frac{mx \sinh \frac{\beta \eta_1}{2} \cos \frac{\beta \eta_2}{2} - \xi_2}{mx \cosh \frac{\beta \eta_1}{2} \sin \frac{\beta \eta_2}{2} - \xi_1}\right\}.$$

Corresponding energies:

$$h_s(\eta) = \frac{m}{\beta} \cosh \beta \eta, \quad h_b(\eta) = \frac{2m}{\beta} \cosh \frac{\beta \eta_1}{2} \sin \frac{\beta \eta_2}{2} \geq 0.$$

Following to **Suggestion B**

$$\rho_2(q) = 0.$$

Indeed, $v_X(u_c) = \sin\{\lambda u_c\} \partial u_c / \partial X$ and

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial u_c}{\partial X} \right\} \cap \partial_X T^*G = \emptyset$$

• The mapping of **scalar** $O(4,2)$ **field theory** on the $W_O(8) = T^*\bar{G}_1(4) \times R(5)$ space gives $\rho_2(q) \neq 0$ since $\{\varphi\} \cap \inf \partial_{\eta_1} W_O \neq \emptyset$, where

$$\varphi(x) = \left(\frac{4}{g\eta_1^2}\right)^{1/2} \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{(x-x_0)^2}{\eta_1^2}\right)^2 + \left(2\frac{\eta_2 l_\mu (x-x_0)^\mu}{\eta_1}\right)^2 \right\}^{-1/2} = O(1/\sqrt{g}),$$

where: $l_\mu l^\mu = +1/\eta_2^2 \geq 0$, $\vec{l}^2 = 1$. *Note*, other directions in the W_O space did not give contributions

One should use:

$$\begin{aligned} U(\varphi, e) &= 2g\Re \int_{C_+} d^3x dt \varphi(x) e^3(x) \\ DM(\xi, \eta) &= d^3x_0 d^3l \delta(\vec{l}^2 - 1) dt_0 \delta(\xi_1(0) - \xi_2(0)) \\ &\times \prod_t d^2\xi(t) d^2\eta(t) \delta^2\left(\dot{\xi} - \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial \eta}\right) \delta^2\left(\dot{\eta} + \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial \xi}\right) \\ \hat{K}_t(j, e) &= \frac{1}{2} \int dt (\hat{j}_\xi \cdot \hat{e}_\xi + \hat{j}_\eta \cdot \hat{e}_\eta) \end{aligned}$$

Note, by definition, $\rho_2(q) \sim \delta(q^2 - m^2)$, where $m = h_r \neq 0$ is the renormalized energy of u_c , $h_r = h(u_c) + O(\hbar)$, $h(u_c) \sim 1/\eta_1$ (!) by definition.

- The mapping of **Yang-Mills theory** on $W_O \times G$ gives:

$$DM(\xi, \eta, \Lambda) = d^3x(0)d^3l\delta(l^2 - 1)\delta(\xi_{10} - \xi_{20})$$

$$\prod_{x,t;a} d^2\xi(t)d^2\eta(t)d\Lambda_a(x,t)\delta(\dot{\xi} - \omega - j_\xi)\delta(\dot{\eta} - j_\eta)$$

$$\hat{K}(je) = \frac{1}{2} \int dt \{ \hat{\mathbf{j}}_\xi^a \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\xi^a + \hat{\mathbf{j}}_\eta^a \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\eta^a \}$$

$$U(\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{e}}) = S_o(\mathbf{u}) - \int d^4x \prod_a \left\{ \bar{\mathbf{e}}_a \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_a} \right\} v(\mathbf{u}),$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{e}}_a = \mathbf{e}_\xi \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_a}{\partial \eta} - \mathbf{e}_\eta \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_a}{\partial \xi}.$$

Note, measure is ghost fields free.